State Board of Review Meeting National Register of Historic Places South Carolina Department of Archives and History State Historic Preservation Office

Minutes of the November 19, 2021 Meeting

The State Board of Review meeting was held in the Wachovia room of the South Carolina Archives and History Center, 8301 Parklane Road, in Columbia, South Carolina.

Members Present

Mr. William L. Kinney, Jr. (Chair), Dr. J. Edward Lee (Vice-Chair), Mr. Christopher Judge, Ms. Amy Moore, Dr. Karen Y. Smith, and Ms. Patricia Lowe Smith.

Members Absent

Mr. Derek Gruner, Ms. Dawn Dawson-House, Dr. A. V. Huff, Jr., Dr. Kathryn Silva Hyde, and Ms. Cynthia Cole Jenkins.

Call to Order

Mr. Kinney called the meeting to order at 10:33 A.M. and welcomed everyone in attendance.

Introduction of Board Members

Each of the board members were invited to introduce themselves. Each member stated their name and professional affiliation.

Approval of Minutes

The members received the minutes of the July 23, 2021, meeting by mail (or e-mail) in the packets prior to the meeting. Dr. Lee made a motion to approve the minutes as written, seconded by Dr. Smith. The motion was approved unanimously.

Remarks

Mr. Kinney invited Dr. W. Eric Emerson, director of the Department of Archives and History and State Historic Preservation Officer, to offer remarks. Dr. Emerson welcomed attendees to the South Carolina Archives and History Center and thanked them for being present at the meeting. He explained some of the functions of the State Historic Preservation Office and Department of Archives and History and invited Ms. Elizabeth M. Johnson, Deputy State Historic Preservation officer, to offer remarks. Ms. Johnson welcomed attendees and acknowledged National Register co-coordinators, Dr. Edwin Breeden and Ms. Virginia Harness. She also acknowledged Mr. Brad Sauls, Supervisor of Survey, Registration, Grants, and Local Government Programs. Ms. Johnson also noted

other SHPO staff in attendance: Mr. Roberto Muñoz-Pando, Archaeologist; Mr. Christopher Tenny, Historic Architecture Consultant; and Mr. John Sylvest, Project Review Coordinator.

Explanation of Procedure

Mr. Kinney outlined the procedure that would follow for considering each of the nominations, explaining that presenters would have five minutes for each nomination, to be followed by statements and comments from visitors; questions from board members; uninterrupted board discussion; and a board decision.

Consideration of Nominations

Beginning at 10:39, the following nominations were considered:

- 1. Edgefield Cotton Mill Addison Mill (Edgefield, Edgefield County) was presented by Dr. Breeden of the SHPO on behalf of the preparer, Ms. Heather Fearnbach of Fearnbach History Services, Inc. Ms. Harness noted that a letter of support for this nomination had been received from David J. Dubin of Dubin Consulting Inc., who is under contract to purchase the nominated property. Ms. Smith made a motion, seconded by Mr. Judge, for approval of the nomination at the local level of significance. The Board approved the motion unanimously.
- 2. **P.D. Cockfield House (Lake City, Florence County)** was presented by Ms. Harness of the SHPO on behalf of the preparer, Dr. Terrie Gaskins-Bryant. Dr. Smith made a motion, seconded by Ms. Moore, for approval of the nomination at the local level of significance. The Board approved the motion unanimously.
- 3. **Triangle Building (Greenville, Greenvile County)** was presented by the preparer, Ms. Kendra Waters of MacRostie Historic Advisors, LLC. During the public comment segment, Ms. Jane Campbell noted her familiarity with the building and said she was glad that work was being done to preserve it. Dr. Lee made a motion, seconded by Dr. Smith, for approval of the nomination at the local level of significance. The Board approved the motion unanimously.
- 4. Plane Hill (Rembert vicinity, Kershaw County) was presented by the preparer, Dr. Eric Plaag of Carolina Historical Consulting, LLC. During questions by the board, Mr. Judge asked if any of the non-contributing above-ground resources on the property might have enough archaeological potential to be considered contributing under Criterion D. Dr. Plaag noted that while the property likely has archaeological potential, the non-contributing resources have been subject to significant disruption and may not be sufficiently intact for National Register eligibility. Dr. Smith asked if the current owner would be open to closer archaeological investigation to better determine the property's potential. Dr. Plaag said that he expected they would be but he had not specifically discussed the issue with them. Ms. Harness noted that a general statement of the property's possible archaeological potential could be added to the Additional Context section even if it was unclear if the property would be eligible under Criterion D. Mr. Judge made a

motion to approve the nomination at the local level of significance, seconded by Dr. Smith. The Board approved the motion unanimously.

- 5. Seneca Cotton Mill (Seneca vicinity, Oconee County) was presented by the preparer, Ms. Jane Campbell, of Rogers, Lewis, Jackson, Mann & Quinn, LLC. Dr. Lee made a motion to approve the nomination at the local level of significance, seconded by Ms. Smith. The Board approved the motion unanimously.
- 6. **R.L.** and Annie Sturgis House (Rock Hill, York County) was presented by the preparer, Ms. Lauren Fowler-Ellis. Ms. Harness noted that a letter of support for this nomination had been received from the property's co-owner, Marc Helms. During board comments, Dr. Lee noted his familiarity with the property and expressed excitement at its nomination. Dr. Lee made a motion to approve the nomination at the local level of significance, seconded by Dr. Smith. The Board approved the motion unanimously.

Other Business

Mr. Kinney then announced the following upcoming Review Board meeting dates:

The spring 2022 meeting is scheduled for Friday, March 25, 2022. The summer 2022 meeting is scheduled for Friday, July 22, 2022. The fall 2022 meeting is scheduled for Friday, November 18, 2022.

Adjournment

There being no further business, Mr. Kinney asked for a motion to adjourn. Ms. Smith made a motion to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Dr. Smith. The meeting adjourned at 11:31 A.M.